Assessing the Disease Activity in Crohn's Disease, Nothing Is Enough Alone

Ismail Inci¹, Zeynep Sude Celik², Haluk Tarık Kani^{1,3}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Marmara University School of Medicine, İstanbul, Türkiye

Cite this article as: Inci I, Celik ZS, Kani HT. Assessing the disease activity in Crohn's disease, nothing is enough alone. *Turk J Gastroenterol.* Published online November 3, 2025. doi:10.5152/tjg.2025.25519.

Dear Editor,

We have read the recently published article by Buldukoglu et al¹ with great interest. Crohn's disease (CD) is a chronic, autoimmune, and inflammatory disorder that causes inflammation and ulceration in the gastrointestinal tract.^{2,3} Patients may have diarrhea, abdominal pain, fatigue, impaired quality of life, and long-term complications such as strictures and fistulas.³

According to the ECCO-ESGAR (European Crohn's and Colitis Organization - European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology) joint diagnostic guideline,4 accurate assessment of disease activity is crucial for planning treatment and monitoring response to treatment. Endoscopy is the gold standard for mucosal assessment. Magnetic resonance enterography (MRE) (sensitivity 80%-97%, specificity 82%-95%) is used to assess transmural inflammation, while intestinal ultrasound (sensitivity 79%-94%, specificity 92%-97%) offers high accuracy and the possibility of bedside assessment. Computed tomography enterography (sensitivity ~81%, specificity ~88%) is similar but is limited due to radiation exposure. Small bowel capsule endoscopy is as accurate as MRE/ultrasound and is particularly effective in detecting proximal disease, but it is retained in 1.5%-2% of cases. Fecal calprotectin (FC) correlates with endoscopic activity (sensitivity ~78%, specificity ~73% for predicting recurrence), while C-reactive protein (CRP) is a rapid but less sensitive marker.

Scores most commonly used to assess clinical activity in patients with CD are the Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) and the Harvey–Bradshaw Index (HBI).⁵ Although CDAI and HBI are useful for monitoring luminal

inflammatory activity, they may underestimate clinical activity in cases of perianal and fistulizing CD. For example, in the original definition of CDAI, the presence of an actively draining fistula contributes minimally, while HBI does not directly score perianal findings. In colonic involvement, the symptom profile (e.g., diarrhea, abdominal pain) is generally reflected in the scores, so performance is relatively better; however, it is not possible to reliably distinguish the degree of inflammation with CDAI/HBI alone, and therefore, it is recommended that they be supported by objective biomarkers or imaging methods.⁵

There is no single or specific clinical or biochemical parameter that is sufficient to reflect disease activity. This has led to a search for new parameters. 6 Based on this gap, the authors present Gasdermin D (GSDMD) as an inflammatory biomarker that can be used to predict disease activity in CD within the framework of their hypothesis. However, the authors evaluated the disease activity by HBI. Colonoscopic evaluation and scoring are crucial to assess disease activity in CD. Also, in fistulizing disease, sometimes additional imaging modalities and scores are needed to assess the disease activity more accurately.7 Correlation of GSDMD with HBI is important to show its function in assessing disease activity, but it is not enough. Colonoscopic evaluation would be better to assess its correlation with disease activity, even though the authors stated this as a limitation. Besides this, in patients with perianal involvement, imaging scores such as van Assche Index or MAGNIFI-CD are needed to assess the activity, and it is important to evaluate the correlation of GDSMD.8,9

In conclusion, there are indices such as CDAI and HBI, but they are not sufficient. There is a need for applicable,

Corresponding author: Haluk Tarik Kani, e-mail: tarik.kani@marmara.edu.tr Received: August 17, 2025 Accepted: August 31, 2025 Publication Date: November 3, 2025 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2025.25519



²Marmara University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Türkiye

³Institute of Gastroenterology, Marmara University, Istanbul, Türkiye

sustainable, and inclusive indices. Also, there are biomarkers such as CRP and FC, but again, they are not sufficient alone. All parameters used to assess disease activity must correlate with endoscopic data. Research into potential new non-invasive indices and biomarkers is valuable for routine use and should be encouraged because, although endoscopy is a powerful tool, it is invasive.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – İ.İ., Z.S.Y.; Design – İ.İ., H.T.K.; Supervision – H.T.K.; Resources – H.T.K.; Materials – İ.İ., Z.S.Y.; Data Collection and/or Processing – İ.İ., Z.S.Y.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – İ.İ., H.T.K.; Literature Search – İ.İ., Z.S.Y.; Writing Manuscript – İ.İ., Z.S.Y.; Critical Review – H.T.K.

Declaration of Interests: Haluk Tarık Kani is an Associate Editor at the Turkish Journal of Gastroenterology, however, his involvement in the peer review process was solely as an author. Other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding: The authors declare that this study received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Buldukoglu OC, Ocal S, Atar GE, et al. Gasdermin D: a new inflammatory biomarker in assessing clinical disease activity in Crohn's disease. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2025;36(9):556-562. [CrossRef] 2. Chang JT. Pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel diseases. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(27):2652-2664. [CrossRef]

3. Cushing K, Higgins PDR. Management of Crohn disease: a review. JAMA. 2021;325(1):69-80. [CrossRef]

4.Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, et al. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: Initial diagnosis, monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications J Crohns Colitis. 2019;13(2):144-164. [CrossRef]

5.Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Hanauer SB, et al. A review of activity indices and efficacy endpoints for clinical trials of medical therapy in adults with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2002;122(2):512-530. [CrossRef]

6.Sands BE, Ooi CJ. A survey of methodological variation in the Crohn's disease activity index. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2005;11(2):133-138. [CrossRef]

7. Ni G, Zhao H, Shen H, Li S, Ao W. Prediction of perianal fistula in Crohn's disease by computed tomography enterography. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2024;35(3):168-177. [CrossRef]

8. Van Assche G, Vanbeckevoort D, Bielen D, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the effects of infliximab on perianal fistulizing Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98(2):332-339. [CrossRef] 9. Hindryckx P, Jairath V, Zou G, et al. Development and validation of a magnetic resonance index for assessing fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2019;157(5):1233-1244.e5. [CrossRef]