INTRODUCTION
Iron deficiency anemia (IDA) is of prime concern to gastroenterologists owing to its prevalent gastrointestinal etiology. Both overt and occult gastrointestinal (GI) hemorrhages are the most common causes for IDA in adults, and they often require an endoscopic evaluation. GI tract lesions and occult bleeding might account for a daily iron loss of 1−2 mg. There are many possible causes of GI hemorrhage, which include esophageal varices, peptic ulcer, gastritis, polyps, angiodysplasia, diverticular disease, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), hemorrhoids, anal fissure, and cancer (1). IBD is a chronic GI disorder involving Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), and it is characterized by periods of relapse and remission. IDA, depending on other factors, occurs in about 17%-76% IBD patients, and it is the most frequently documented extraintestinal manifestation and clinical complication. IDA is associated with poor quality of life, frequent hospitalization, and increased mortality rates. In addition, it increases the likelihood of allogeneic blood transfusions in hospitalized patients and the associated adverse events (ADEs) and outcomes related to blood transfusions (2). Therefore, in patients with IBD and GI hemorrhage, the accurate diagnosis and management of IDA are crucial (1-5).

In this report, a Medline search was conducted for the literature published between 2000 and 2016 by using the...
Anemia in IBD

The primary forms of hypochromic microcytic anemias in IBD include iron deficiency anemia (IDA), anemia of chronic disease (ACD), and anemia of mixed origin (AMO). Macrocytic anemias caused by the deficiency of cobalamin and folic acid might also be contributors. Although the significance of anemia in patients with IBD is well understood due to its high prevalence, it remains potentially underdiagnosed and often inadequately treated (4,6). Several recent studies show that anemia is highly prevalent in IBD. Høivik et al. (7) conducted a population-based study including 756 patients (237 with CD and 519 with UC) and reported that 48.8% of CD and 20.2% of UC patients were anemic at the diagnosis stage. In another population-based study, Sjöberg et al. (8) found the prevalence of anemia in 749 IBD patients as 30% (CD: 42%; UC: 24%) at the time of diagnosis. Toruner et al. (9) reported the prevalence of anemia in a total of 398 patients with IBD (186 with CD and 212 with UC) as 22% in UC patients and 24% in CD patients in a single-center cohort study. In a cohort of a patient with IBD spanning 18 years, the incidence rate of anemia was reported for the first time by Atug et al. (10) The records of 941 IBD patients (375 with CD and 566 with UC) were obtained and anemia was found in 548 (58.2%) patients (62.1% of CD and 55.7% of UC). In the cohort of all the patients with IBD, the incidence rate of anemia was determined as 103.45 per 1,000 patients in each year. Koutroubakis et al. (11) reported that the prevalence of anemia was 50.1% (CD: 53.3%; UC: 44.7%) in 1,821 IBD patients (1,077 with CD and 744 with UC). Serum ferritin levels were available for 287 (15.8%) patients with IBD; further, definitive IDA with ferritin levels of <30 μg/L were observed in 46.5% patients. Similarly, Filmann et al. (12) reported the overall prevalence of anemia as 24% (CD: 27%; UC: 21%) in 2,192 IBD patients (1,210 with CD and 981 with UC); further, 57% anemic patients were iron deficient in this meta-analysis. There are two main reasons of IDA in IBD. First, increased iron loss due to acute/chronic blood loss and second, decreased iron absorption due to inadequate diet, inflammation, or surgery (13-16).

Anemia in GI Hemorrhage

Gastrointestinal hemorrhage is a common medical problem, which may present acutely as an emergency, or even sometimes chronically. In most cases, IDA is a potential problem in these cases. Bager et al. performed a retrospective evaluation of patients admitted for acute upper GI hemorrhage. In this study, the retrospective data of 169 patients were included. The prevalence of anemia in the patients who were discharged was 82%. Among them, only 16% were advised to take iron supplements after discharge. In patients with frequent episodes of GI hemorrhage, such as those with IBD, the iron level should be monitored regularly after GI hemorrhage is resolved as common bleeding may cause the depletion of iron stores in the body (16-18).

**Recommendation**

- Patients with IBD and GI hemorrhage should be tested for an episode of iron deficiency (ID) and IDA.
- Large-scale, multicenter studies should be performed in Turkey for accurate population-based data collection regarding IDA in patients with IBD and GI hemorrhage.

### Symptoms and Diagnostic Tools of Anemia in IBD and GI Hemorrhage

The symptoms of anemia include fatigue, headache, dizziness, tachycardia, dyspnea, loss of libido, nausea, vertigo, tinnitus, weight loss, motility disorder, lethargy, and syncope, although patients may also remain asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic (13). ID without anemia may also result in the same symptoms or may be asymptomatic.

Danese et al. (19) employed an online questionnaire to investigate the patients’ perceptions of anemia in IBD. A total of 631 patients completed the questionnaire. Most of them were familiar with anemia and believed that anemia in IBD occurs frequently or very frequently. They assumed that anemia in IBD is due to blood loss, ID, and inflammation. The main symptoms reported commonly by the patients with anemia were fatigue and weakness.

World Health Organization (WHO) defines anemia as low blood hemoglobin (Hb) level, below the concentration of 13 g/dL and 12 g/dL in men and non-pregnant women, respectively. However, all differential diagnostic approaches should be considered and diagnostic tests should be performed to confirm the presence of anemia (Tables 1 and 2).

Under normal circumstances, serum ferritin level below 15 μg/L is an indicator of ID. On the other hand, as serum ferritin is an acute phase reactant, there is a strong correlation between the diagnostic criteria and the level of inflammation for ID. In patients without the evidence of an active disease, serum ferritin <30 μg/L can be taken as a convenient parameter. In the presence of inflammation, the possibility of ID cannot be excluded when the serum ferritin level is >100 μg/L. Similarly, transferrin and iron-binding capacity also become unreliable since inflammation influences these parameters. In a condition such as IBD, further analyses may be required to confirm IDA, such as reticulocyte hemoglobin content, percentage of hypochromic red blood cells, transferrin saturation index, and zinc protoporphyrin, but these tests are not yet widely available (Table 3). Because of these challenges, it has been suggested that the patient should be referred to a hematologist for expert evaluation in the case of diagnostic uncertainties (4,20,21).
Treatment of Anemia due to IBD and GI Hemorrhage

Although IDA may be a consequence of active IBD, the treatment of the underlying disease does not necessarily correct IDA, which remains highly prevalent. For this reason, it is necessary to directly treat IDA.

The aim of iron supplementation in IDA is to elevate the levels of hemoglobin (Hb>2 g/dL), attain normal Hb levels within 4 weeks, enhance ferritin levels (transferrin saturation>30%), relieve the symptoms of anemia, and therefore, improve the quality of life.

There are two administration routes of iron: oral and intravenous (IV). Oral iron supplementation is the conventional method in the management of IDA; however, it has been found to be associated with GI side effects, adherence problems, and insufficient effectiveness. In certain cases, it may potentially exacerbate the disease through the formation of reactive oxygen species. However, the use of IV iron is still a matter of concern among many physicians, despite new-generation IV iron preparations with improved safety profiles and proper recommended guidelines (see IV iron preparations available in Turkey as listed in Table 4).

In a recently published meta-analysis by Bonovas et al. (25), integrated evidence from randomized controlled trials showed that IV administration of iron is more effective than oral iron uptake in achieving hemoglobin rise of ≥2.0 g/dL, and it is better tolerated as compared to oral iron in IBD. The aim of iron supplementation in IDA is to elevate the levels of hemoglobin (Hb>2 g/dL), attain normal Hb levels within 4 weeks, enhance ferritin levels (transferrin saturation>30%), relieve the symptoms of anemia, and therefore, improve the quality of life.

There are two administration routes of iron: oral and intravenous (IV). Oral iron supplementation is the conventional method in the management of IDA; however, it has been found to be associated with GI side effects, adherence problems, and insufficient effectiveness. In certain cases, it may potentially exacerbate the disease through the formation of reactive oxygen species. However, the use of IV iron is still a matter of concern among many physicians, despite new-generation IV iron preparations with improved safety profiles and proper recommended guidelines (see IV iron preparations available in Turkey as listed in Table 4).

In a recently published meta-analysis by Bonovas et al. (25), integrated evidence from randomized controlled trials showed that IV administration of iron is more effective than oral iron uptake in achieving hemoglobin rise of ≥2.0 g/dL, and it is better tolerated as compared to oral iron in IBD. In another meta-analysis by Tolkien et al. (26) oral iron (ferrous sulfate) resulted in remarkable GI side effects in adults when compared to either placebo or IV iron. The results of a randomized, controlled, open-label, multicenter study suggested that IV iron sucrose (IS) had a better GI safety profile in comparison to oral iron sulfate, and 5 patients (20.8%) in the oral group and 1 patient (4.5%) in the IV group discontinued the drug due to adverse GI ADEs. Furthermore, only IV iron led to an increase in serum ferritin concentrations (27). In another randomized controlled study, ferric carboxymaltose (FCM) IV and oral iron sulfate were compared. The administration of FCM up to 1,000 mg iron

| Table 1. Discriminatory characteristics of IDA, ACD and AMO (3) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Iron deficiency anemia (IDA) | Anemia of chronic disease (ACD) | Anemia of mixed origin (AMO) |
| Serum ferritin | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ |
| Serum iron | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ |
| Transferrin | ↑ | ↓ or N | ↓ |
| Transferrin saturation | ↓ | ↓ | ↓ |
| Mean corpuscular volume | ↓ | ↓ or N | ↓ or N |
| Iron-binding capacity | ↑ | ↓ | ↓ to low N |
| Serum transferrin receptor | ↑ | N | ↑ or N |
| Serum transferrin receptor index | High (>2) | Low (<1) | High (>2) |
| C-reactive protein | N | ↑ | ↑ |
| Erythropoietin | ↑ | N or slightly ↑ | ↑ or N |
| Cytokine levels | N | ↑ | ↑ |

*Values vary according to different assays
IDA: iron deficiency anemia; ACD: anemia of chronic disease; Chr: Reticulocyte hemoglobin content; PHRC: Percentage of hypochromic erythrocytes; sTfR-F: Soluble transferrin receptor-ferritin; N: normal

| Table 2. Tests for the diagnosis of IDA (3) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Complete blood count | Serum ferritin | Other |
| Suggestive of iron deficiency | Diagnostic test of choice | Serum iron ↓ |
| Not the diagnostic test of choice | Adult levels (µg/L) | Iron-binding capacity ↑ |
| Hemoglobin threshold: men <13 g/dL; non-pregnant women <12 g/dL | <15 - diagnostic for iron deficiency | Transferrin saturation <16% |
| | 15-50 - probable iron deficiency | |
| | 50-100 - possible iron deficiency | |
| | >100 - iron deficiency unlikely | |
| | Persistently >1000 - iron overload? | |

| Table 3. Advanced diagnostic tests for IDA (20) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Laboratory Measures | Normal | IDA | ACD | IDA/ACD |
| *sTfR-F index | ↑ (>2) | ↑ (>2) | ↓ (<1) | ↑ (>2) |
| Chr | ≥29 pg | ↓↓ | N or ↓ | ↓ |
| PHRC | 1-5% | >5% | <5% | |
| *Zinc protoporphyrin | <40 (µmol/mol heme) | >80 | ≥80 | ≥80 |
| C-reactive protein | <5 mg/L | N | ↑↑ | ↑ |

*Values vary according to different assays
IDA: iron deficiency anemia; ACD: anemia of chronic disease; Chr: Reticulocyte hemoglobin content; PHRC: Percentage of hypochromic erythrocytes; sTfR-F: Soluble transferrin receptor-ferritin; N: normal

Recommendation
* The diagnosis of IDA should be confirmed by the means of differential diagnostic tests prior to the treatment.
* Refer the patient to a hematologist if the reason of anemia remains uncertain after further examinations.

Treatment of Anemia due to IBD and GI Hemorrhage

Although IDA may be a consequence of active IBD, the treatment of the underlying disease does not necessarily correct IDA, which remains highly prevalent. For this reason, it is necessary to directly treat IDA.

The aim of iron supplementation in IDA is to elevate the levels of hemoglobin (Hb>2 g/dL), attain normal Hb levels within 4 weeks, enhance ferritin levels (transferrin saturation>30%), relieve the symptoms of anemia, and therefore, improve the quality of life.

There are two administration routes of iron: oral and intravenous (IV). Oral iron supplementation is the conventional method in the management of IDA; however, it has been found to be associated with GI side effects, adherence problems, and insufficient effectiveness. In certain cases, it may potentially exacerbate the disease through the formation of reactive oxygen species. However, the use of IV iron is still a matter of concern among many physicians, despite new-generation IV iron preparations with improved safety profiles and proper recommended guidelines (see IV iron preparations available in Turkey as listed in Table 4).

In a recently published meta-analysis by Bonovas et al. (25), integrated evidence from randomized controlled trials showed that IV administration of iron is more effective than oral iron uptake in achieving hemoglobin rise of ≥2.0 g/dL, and it is better tolerated as compared to oral iron in IBD. In another meta-analysis by Tolkien et al. (26) oral iron (ferrous sulfate) resulted in remarkable GI side effects in adults when compared to either placebo or IV iron. The results of a randomized, controlled, open-label, multicenter study suggested that IV iron sucrose (IS) had a better GI safety profile in comparison to oral iron sulfate, and 5 patients (20.8%) in the oral group and 1 patient (4.5%) in the IV group discontinued the drug due to adverse GI ADEs. Furthermore, only IV iron led to an increase in serum ferritin concentrations (27). In another randomized controlled study, ferric carboxymaltose (FCM) IV and oral iron sulfate were compared. The administration of FCM up to 1,000 mg iron
within 15 min was found to be effective in the treatment of IBD-associated anemia. FCM was not inferior to iron sulfate in terms of Hb variation over 12 weeks, and it also resulted in a rapid elevation of Hb levels and refilling of iron stores with few relevant side effects (28). Lindgren et al. (29) conducted a randomized, controlled, evaluator-blind, multicenter study, and the results showed that IV IS treatment is superior to oral iron sulphate in the improvement of Hb and iron stores in patients with IBD; further, IS has better GI tolerance. Avni et al. (30) compared the treatment methods for anemia in patients with IBD in a systematic review and carried out a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The study concluded that the treatment of anemia in IBD should include IV administration of iron, but not oral iron replacement, due to its curative effect on Hb response, lack of toxicity, and negative effect on the disease activity. FERGiclor was a randomized controlled, open-label, multicenter study that included 485 patients with IBD and IBD. The patients received either FCM (three or fewer infusions of 500 mg or 1000 mg iron, or Ganzoni-calculated IS dosages (maximum 11 infusions of 200 mg iron). Both treatments improved the quality of life scores, but the simpler FCM-based dosing regimen showed superior efficacy and compliance when compared with the Ganzoni-calculated IS dosing, and the lower number of infusions increases the convenience and cost-effectiveness of IV iron supplementation (31). Similarly, Bager et al. (32) reviewed 111 IBD patients’ data and compared IS and FCM through cost-benefit, cost-effective, and budget impact analyses. FCM was found to be advantageous according to the cost-benefit and cost-effective analyses. In another pharmacoeconomic analysis conducted at a GI diseases unit, 111 patients’ records that received IV iron were evaluated in terms of the number of infusions and the dose of iron, and the total costs of IS and FCM were compared. In conclusion, FCM was favored over IS (33).

The recurrence of anemia in IBD patients after treatment is another important issue. In a retrospective study conducted by Kulnigg et al. (34), it was reported that the median value of ID recurrence within 19 months was the indicator of the requirement of maintenance therapy. The recurrence rate was higher in patients with low post-treatment ferritin levels (<100 μg/L; median: 4 months) than those in a normal ferritin range (100–400 μg/L; median: 11 months) and with higher ferritin levels (400 μg/L; median: 49 months). Therefore, the aim of iron replacement should be to achieve a post-treatment ferritin level of at least 400 μg/L (upper safety limit: 800 μg/L), and patients should be followed-up regularly to delay or avoid the recurrence of ID. Evstatiev et al. (35) performed a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial to determine if the administration of FCM prevents anemia in patients with IBD. They concluded that in comparison to placebo, FCM is much more effective and safer for the prevention of recurrent anemia.

An analysis involving complete blood count, serum ferritin, and CRP should be performed during the follow-up of patients. Patients who are in remission or have a mild disease course should be repeatedly monitored every 6 to 12 months, and those with active disease should be monitored at least every 3 months. The serum levels of cobalamin and folic acid should be measured at least annually or in the presence of macrocytosis. The target values of IV iron replacement therapy should be up to 400 μg/L. After the successful treatment of IDA with IV iron supplementation, IV iron therapy should be reintiated as soon as the serum ferritin level drops <100 μg/L (4).

### Safety of IV Iron Treatment

**Recommendation**

* The goal of IDA therapy is to increase hemoglobin levels by >2 g/dL within 4 weeks and to refill iron stores.
* The target values of IV iron replacement therapy should be up to 400 μg/L.
* In patients with IBD, when compared with oral iron therapy, IV iron supplementation refills the depleted iron stores more effectively.
* The major misconceptions are high doses of oral iron administration resolve the problem of iron malabsorption in IBD, and IV iron is useful only for severe anemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low-molecular-weight iron dextran (LMWID)</th>
<th>Iron sucrose (IS)</th>
<th>Ferric carboxymaltose (FCM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight (kD)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex stability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of elemental iron</td>
<td>50 mg/mL</td>
<td>20 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test dose required</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routes of administration</td>
<td>Slow IV infusion</td>
<td>Slow IV infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to administer total dose</td>
<td>Yes (up to 20 mg/kg body weight over 4-6 hours)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>5 hours</td>
<td>20 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage</td>
<td>100-200 mg per IV injection up to 3 times a week. Total dose infusion up to 20 mg/kg body weight over 4-6 hours.</td>
<td>Total IV single dose no more than 200 mg can be repeated up to 3 times in one week.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adverse drug-related events**

| Moderate | Very low | Very low |

*The major misconceptions are high doses of oral iron administration resolve the problem of iron malabsorption in IBD, and IV iron is useful only for severe anemia.*

---

**Table 4. IV iron preparations available in Turkey (14,16,20,22,36)**
Safety concerns regarding parenteral iron preparations are associated with serious ADEs (anaphylaxis, shock, and death), which are predominantly reported with the use of high-molecular-weight iron dextran that is no longer available in European markets. The safety profiles of the latest preparations, such as IS and FCM, are more favorable (36).

Chertow et al. (37) conducted a study by using the data of US FDA’s Medwatch programme, and they determined that the frequency of IV ADEs associated with iron is comparatively reduced, and the overall rates are extremely low. The increased risks are related to the use of high-molecular-weight iron dextran.

Among the iron preparations, IS has the most extensive dataset as an outcome of extensive research. In the study by Van Wyck et al. (38), it was concluded that IS injection is a safe and effective method in the management of anemia in patients who are sensitive to iron dextran, and it can be administered without a test dose by IV push or infusion.

Ferric carboxymaltose is a new IV iron preparation, which has been reported to be equally safe and more effective than IS. Since FCM is a highly stable compound and renders low toxicity, the conditions that are relevant to its use, such as unstable iron release or liver necrosis, are not observed. It also has a very low risk of anaphylaxis or anaphylactoid reaction as there is no dextran. FCM allows higher doses of iron to be administered in a short period of time; therefore, it is possible to prevent issues associated with patient compliance and the additional cost of multiple administrations. Information about the experience with FCM is gradually increasing (36,39,40).

In a study considering a large number of patients (almost 2000 patients treated with IV FCM versus 800 patients treated with oral iron), FCM was generally well tolerated as it yielded lower incidence of GI side effects and low risk of hypersensitivity reactions. FCM improved the replenishment of iron stores, and therefore, it is possible to prevent issues associated with patient compliance and the additional cost of multiple administrations. Information about the experience with FCM is gradually increasing (36,39,40).

Physicians should also be aware of the Fishbane reaction, which is characterized by transient flushing and truncal myalgia with joint pain. It is similar to anaphylactoid reaction without hypotension and tachycardia, but it is not actually immunological. The symptoms abate spontaneously over a few minutes and do not usually recur on rechallenge (42).

A recent study from 55 gastroenterological centers showed that only 43.5% anemic IBD patients were treated for anemia (43). In another study from 9 European countries, the majority of IBD patients with anemia were treated with oral iron (67%), whereas only 28% received IV iron (44). In clinical prac-
tices, most IBD patients with anemia either do not receive iron supplementation or receive oral iron despite existing evidence and international guidelines recommending IV administration as the preferred route (4,23,45).

**Rationale for oral iron supplementation**

- Simple route to administer
- More data are available on treatment experience
- Rare lethal drug-related ADEs (anaphylaxis)
- Low cost
- Patient preference

**Rationale for IV iron supplementation**

- Fast results are received; more effective
- Repletion of iron stores regardless of factors affecting iron absorption
- High adherence and compliance
- Minimal side effects and high tolerability
- One-time administration (FCM and LMWID)
- No mucosal toxicity and disease exacerbation
- Patient preference

According to the literature and our experience, an approach for the diagnosis and treatment of IDA in patients with GI diseases is illustrated in the figure.

**Recommendation**

- Misconception: IV iron is dangerous.
- New-generation IV iron preparations are more favorable for rapid infusion and there is no need for test dosing.

In conclusion, IDA remains the most common cause of anemia in patients with GI hemorrhage and IBD. It significantly reduces the quality of life if not treated properly. The diagnosis of IDA can be challenging sometimes and choosing the appropriate tests and evaluating the outcomes in detail are essential. When routine diagnostic tests are insufficient, further diagnostic tests should be used if possible. Checking CRP levels is also important to rule out inflammation and to evaluate the activity of IBD. The current literature shows that IV iron supplementation is more effective than orally administered iron in curing IDA. Faster efficacy, higher tolerability, higher adherence, one-time administration (FCM and LMWID), and mucosal nontoxicity are other advantages of the IV route. However, many physicians, owing to their stubborn nature, are still insistent on using oral iron despite its side effects, compliance problems, slow repletion, and lack of response. They need to consider if they really want to treat the patient or to write a prescription without being interested in the outcomes.
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