Diagnostic efficacy of serum procalcitonin, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer levels in an experimental acute appendicitis model
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ABSTRACT

Background/Aims: Acute appendicitis is the most frequent cause of acute abdomen emergency surgery. It continues to be a problem today due to delayed diagnosis and its high perforation rate. For this reason, diagnostic tests continue to be developed. In this experimental study, the diagnostic significance of blood procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin (IL)-6, IL-2, and D-dimer levels in an acute appendicitis model in rabbits was investigated.

Materials and Methods: A total of five groups were included: control group, sham group, and three different acute appendicitis groups. In the appendicitis groups, the appendix was ligated by laparotomy, and the blood PCT, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer levels were measured at 12 (group 3), 24 (group 4), and 48 h (group 5). Then, an appendectomy was performed.

Results: In the present study, PCT and IL-6 levels increased in parallel with the inflammation of the appendix in all groups and were found to be statistically significant. IL-2 and D-dimer values were higher in the groups diagnosed with appendicitis but were not statistically significant.

Conclusion: In our experimental study, PCT and IL-6 levels were determined to be important in the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis, especially IL-6, and that these two parameters are more important markers than IL-2 and D-dimer.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is the most common cause of acute abdominal pain. It has common symptoms with other abdominal pains and may be confused with many diseases. In 1711, Lorenz Heister first showed the importance of appendicitis (1). The first known appendectomy was performed in 1735 by Claudius Amyand (1). Currently, there are an estimated 50,000 books and publications about the appendix (1).

Today, appendectomy is the most common intra-abdominal surgical operation (2). Although there has been a significant reduction in deaths due to acute appendicitis with evolving technology and increasing diagnostic methods, there has been no reduction in perforation and negative appendectomy (NA) (2). The rate of NA is 20%-30%, and this rate can be up to 35% in women, especially in children and in the elderly (1,2). Perforated acute appendicitis is seen in 25% of laparotomies, increasing the morbidity and mortality rates (2).

Many parameters have been examined to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis until today (2). The main purpose of the diagnostic methods to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is to decrease the rate of negative laparotomy, which reaches up to 5%-35%, and perforated appendicitis, thereby decreasing hospital costs and workforce loss (3). An increase in sensitivity rates of diagnostic tests and imaging methods also increases costs and therefore cannot be used commonly (1,3).

Currently, there is still no ideal diagnostic tool that can be used alone to make a definite diagnosis before surgery. Research to find non-invasive, inexpensive, and practically usable laboratory methodologies that do not depend on the user’s experience in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is still ongoing (4).

Procalcitonin (PCT) and interleukin (IL)-6 were acute phase reactants and correlated between the acute course of infections and their plasma levels (4). IL-2 levels, which induce the immune response and activate lymphocytes and monocytes, are elevated in inflammation. Infection and activation of the sepsis coagulation cascade are common and early events, and many of the
molecules involved in this process, such as D-dimer, are also important amplifiers of the inflammatory response. PCT, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer plasma levels are elevated at different levels in the course of acute appendicitis (5). Measurement of the levels of these molecules, which can now be performed easily and relatively inexpensively, may be useful in diagnosing acute appendicitis and in determining prognosis.

The aim of this experimental study was to investigate the diagnostic contributions of blood PCT, D-dimer, IL-2, and IL-6 levels in the early or late phase of patients with a preliminary diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles related to the animal experiments. The animal research ethics committee approved the study (protocol no. KS-08/19 K).

**Subjects**

A total of 35 New Zealand male rabbits weighing 3000 g were used in the study. The animals were kept in the same laboratory conditions throughout the study and fed with commercial rabbit food and normal tap water. All animals were starved for 12 h prior to surgery providing only water. A total of five groups with seven rabbits in each experimental group were studied depending on power analysis calculation.

**Group 1 (control group):** Blood was obtained from the ear of the rabbits to determine the IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer levels without surgery.

**Group 2 (sham group):** The rabbits were laparotomized, and a waiting period of 45 min was passed. Then, the abdomen was closed, and blood was obtained from an ear vein to determine the IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer levels.

**Group 3 (12-hour group):** The appendix of the rabbits was ligated with laparotomy, and blood was obtained from an ear vein to determine the IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer levels at 12 h. Appendectomy was performed after the completion of the experimental procedures.

**Group 4 (24-hour group):** The appendix of the rabbits was ligated with laparotomy, and blood was obtained from an ear vein to determine the IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer levels at 24 h. Appendectomy was performed after the completion of the experimental procedures.

**Group 5 (48-hour group):** The appendix of the rabbits was ligated with laparotomy, and blood was obtained from an ear vein to determine the IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer levels at 48 h. Appendectomy was performed after the completion of the experimental procedures.

**Surgical method**

Subjects that were starved for 12 h prior to surgery providing only water were injected intramuscularly with 50 mg/kg ketamine sodium and 25 mg/kg xylazine HCl for general anesthesia. After anesthesia, the frontal abdominal walls of the rabbits in groups 2, 3, 4, and 5 were shaved and cleaned with 10% povidone iodine. Then, the abdomen was opened with a 4 cm median incision, and the appendix was located. In groups 3, 4, and 5, the appendices were ligated at approximately 10 cm distal part with a 2.0 vicryl suture to preserve mesenteric blood flow, and an experimental appendicitis model was established. Then, the abdomen was closed with a 2.0 silk suture.

**Laboratory analysis**

After the first operation, a blood sample was obtained from an ear vein at 12 (group 3), 24 (group 4), and 48 h (group 5) to evaluate the IL-2, IL-6, cytokine, PCT, and D-dimer levels.

After the blood samples were obtained, the previous incision site of all rabbits in groups 3, 4, and 5 was reopened, and appendectomy was performed. The incision sites were closed individually with 2.0 silk sutures. During these procedures, the rabbits were protected from hypothermia using a light source (Figure 1).

For pathological evaluation, the removed appendix material was fixed in 10% formalin solution for 12 h. Then, the horizontal sections were removed, and the tissue was sampled. After the routine tissue procedures, serial sections of 5 μm thickness were obtained from the samples embedded in paraffin blocks. The samples were deparaffinized, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and closed with a lamel. All preparations were evaluated under a light microscope (Figure 2).

Subsequently, 3 mL blood samples obtained from an ear vein of each rabbit for IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer level measurements were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, and sera were separated and stored at -70 °C. The sera were dissolved at room temperature on the day of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) study. ELISA kits for IL, PCT, and D-dimer (BioSource International Inc., Camarillo, CA, USA) were used. ELISA plates
were evaluated using an EL800x microplate reader, and IL-2, IL-6, PCT, and D-dimer measurements were made.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed by the Statistical Package for Social Sciences Statistics version 12.2 package program (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). Normality tests for the variables were performed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Student’s t-test was used for comparison of two groups, and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used for comparison of five groups for variables that are normally distributed in tests for normality. For variables that are not normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of two groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis H test was used for comparison of five groups.

**RESULTS**

All rabbits in the experimental study completed the study period, and no mortality was observed. According to multiple comparisons conducted to determine the differences between the groups in the measurements of PCT, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer levels, PCT values significantly differ between the groups. IL-6 levels did not differ between groups 3 and 4 and groups 3 and 5, but there were significant differences between the other groups. IL-2 levels differed only between groups 1 and 3 and groups 1 and 5. There was no significant difference between the other groups. Evaluation of the difference between the groups in the D-dimer variable demonstrated that the value in group 1 was lower than in groups 4 and 5, and the value in group 2 was lower than that in groups 3, 4, and 5. The ANOVA test revealed p=0.0001 for PCT, p=0.0001 for IL-6, and p=0.007 for IL-2. There were significant differences between the groups in PCT, IL-6, and IL-2 variables (Table 1).

Appendectomy specimens removed in the appendectomy groups were evaluated histopathologically. In group 3, appendectomy specimens showed submucosal edema and mild inflammatory cellular infiltrates, including eosinophilic leukocytes in the lamina propria and submucosal area. In group 4, appendectomy specimens showed focal mucosal necrosis, ulceration, significant lymphoid...
hyperplasia, marked congestion at the submucosal area, edema, and moderate to severe neutrophil infiltration in the submucosa and mucosa layers. In group 5, appendectomy specimens showed diffuse transmural necrosis and mucosal ulceration, focal perforation, and marked neutrophilic infiltration (peritonitis) in the periappendiceal fat tissue (Figure 2).

The distribution of PCT, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer values in the groups was examined for pathological correlation. PCT and D-dimer values were found to be significantly higher in the groups histopathologically diagnosed with acute appendicitis (p<0.05). IL-6 and IL-2 levels were also significantly higher in the groups histopathologically diagnosed with acute appendicitis (p<0.05). In conclusion, the evaluated blood markers were correlated with histopathological findings of appendix inflammation (Table 2).

Cut-off, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and odds ratio were calculated for blood tests. Sensitivity values of PCT and IL-6 were >90%, and sensitivity of D-dimer was close to 90%. This rate was 76% for IL-2. Similarly, PPV values of PCT and IL-6 were found to be approximately 90%. The PPV value of D-dimer was 82%, whereas the PPV value of IL-2 was 80%. Multiple comparisons and Kruskal-Wallis tests also support that PCT and IL-6 are more important determinants of appendicitis than IL-2 and D-dimer (Table 3).

In a receiver operating characteristic analysis, curves to the left and above the reference line represent higher accuracy, i.e., clinical adequacy. Therefore, it may be observed that PCT and IL-6 tests provide higher accuracy (Figure 3).

### Table 1. The mean values for the groups of parameters studied in the blood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Procalcitonin (pg/mL) Mean±SD</th>
<th>IL-6 (pg/mL) Mean±SD</th>
<th>IL-2 (pg/mL) Mean±SD</th>
<th>D-dimer (ug/mL) Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>14.3±1.2</td>
<td>1.9±4</td>
<td>49.9±26.6</td>
<td>0.096±0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>17.8±1.2</td>
<td>2.4±3.9</td>
<td>77.6±46.7</td>
<td>0.074±0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>22.3±1.5</td>
<td>46.8±7.7</td>
<td>227.1±74.7</td>
<td>0.232±0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>27.7±2.3</td>
<td>45.7±4.9</td>
<td>198.5±38.6</td>
<td>0.300±0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>40.6±5.4</td>
<td>43.2±6.4</td>
<td>247.8±48.6</td>
<td>0.220±0.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Correlation between blood markers and pathology results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Parameters</th>
<th>Pathology Results</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procalcitonin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>Not Appendicitis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer (ug/mL)</td>
<td>Not Appendicitis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>Not Appendicitis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>Not Appendicitis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>164.8</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>228.9</td>
<td>0.002†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendicitis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>224.5</td>
<td>220.5</td>
<td>133.9</td>
<td>356.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Mann Whitney U; †: Student t test

### Table 3. Cut-off, sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV values for blood tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Parameters</th>
<th>Cut-Off</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV*</th>
<th>NPV*</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>U*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procalcitonin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>460.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>33.73</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>78.57</td>
<td>86.363</td>
<td>84.615</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>241.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>175.35</td>
<td>76.19</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>66.66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>37.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer (ug/mL)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>89.47</td>
<td>85.69</td>
<td>82.74</td>
<td>87.51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7.501</td>
<td>406.326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Positive Predictive Value; †: Negative Predictive Value; ‡: 95% CI for OR
DISCUSSION

Acute appendicitis is among the most common surgical emergencies worldwide and has an estimated lifetime risk of 7%-8% (4). It occurs in approximately 90-100/100,000 individuals per year in developed countries. It is usually seen in the second or third decade of life and is usually more common in men (1). Although it is known that the factors that cause direct luminal occlusions, such as fecaliths, lymphoid hyperplasia, tumor, and several infectious agents, such as *Fusobacterium* and *Escherichia coli*, cause appendicitis, the exact etiology is still unknown (4,5). Studies have reported that a positive family history increases the risk of acute appendicitis three times (6). Today, research on its etiology focuses on genetic factors, environmental effects, and infections (2,3).

The risk of acute appendicitis is high in any patients presenting with acute abdomen; although various biomarkers and imaging methods, such as sonography and tomography, are helpful in the diagnosis, definitive diagnosis before surgery is still difficult (4,7). Ultrasonography depends on the experience of the sonographer and the dependent variables, such as the quality and resolution of the devices; therefore, tomography is the best radiological method for detecting appendicitis, but radiation exposure and long-term cancer risks are a cause of great concern (5,7). The Alvarado, modified Alvarado, Fenyo-Lindberg, Lintula, Eskelinen, Teicher, and Christian scoring systems, which are based on symptoms, clinical findings, and laboratory results and applied during the decision making of acute appendicitis surgery, also helped the diagnosis (4,8).

The rate of diagnosis of non-perforated appendicitis has been increased, but the perforation rate has remained constant regardless of the diagnosis and treatment approach (3). Today, mortality in acute appendicitis is 0.09%-0.24% in developed countries and 1%-4% in developing countries (1). When perforation develops in the elderly, the mortality rate reaches 15%-20% (1,4). On the other hand, the proportion of NA in children and the elderly is 20%-30%, which can be up to 35% in women (7,9). Unfortunately, the decline in acute appendicitis mortality over the last 30 years is not accompanied by a significant increase in diagnostic accuracy (4,7). For this reason, NAs cause a significant medical and financial burden (9). NA results in important morbidities, such as wound infections, intestinal obstruction, and infertility (2,9).

Numerous parameters have been examined to support the diagnosis of acute appendicitis until today (5). Biomarkers are used to support anamnesis and physical examination, especially in children, women of childbearing age, and elderly patients where the diagnosis is difficult (1,4,7). However, in some cases, none of the new inflammatory markers, including leukocyte, C-reactive protein (CRP), or PCT, can identify acute appendicitis with high specificity and sensitivity (7,10).

CRP, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor, PCT, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, calprotectin, chemokine ligand-8, serum amyloid A, matrix metalloproteinase 9, and myeloperoxidase are among the inflammatory markers investigated (7,11). In the present study, we examined the correlation between PCT, IL-6, IL-2, and D-dimer levels and histopathologically evaluated appendix inflammation.

Procalcitonin is a precursor of calcitonin (10). It is rapidly produced by the C cells of the thyroid gland, K cells of the lungs, and many parenchymal tissues that are stimulated by endotoxin or inflammatory cytokines. Bacterial lipopolysaccharides and proinflammatory cytokines are the most potent inducers of PCT production (12). Unlike CRP, PCT levels do not increase in patients with sterile inflammation or viral infection. For this reason, it is a good biomarker in many inflammatory conditions, such as acute appendicitis, sepsis, and meningitis (13).

In some studies, it has been suggested that PCT can be used not only as a diagnostic marker for acute appendicitis in both children and adults but also as a prognostic indicator of complications (14). PCT has also been reported to be a better diagnostic test than CRP since it allows patients with real acute appendicitis to be distinguished...
In acute appendicitis, ischemia occurs with increased obstruction and intraluminal pressure in the appendix lumen (4). Studies have reported that D-dimer can be used especially in the diagnosis of perforated appendicitis and to follow its prognosis (20). However, some studies have shown that D-dimer levels are not statistically significant in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis (20,21).

In the present study, it was seen that the sensitivity of D-dimer was close to 90%. Statistical evaluation of D-dimer values revealed that the groups diagnosed with appendicitis had significantly higher levels than the control and sham groups, but there was no statistically significant difference between the appendicitis groups.

When we considered sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV in our study, the highest values were found for calcitonin. IL-6, D-dimer, and IL-2 followed PCT in order. It was concluded that PCT and IL-6 were more important determinants of appendicitis than IL-2 and D-dimer.

In conclusion, our study has shown that PCT and IL-6 may be of particular value in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. We believe that PCT and IL-6 may be used as adjunctive laboratory tests in clinically difficult cases. They may help decrease the rates of negative laparotomy. Since they show the stages of necrotizing and perforating appendicitis, better time, cost, and loss of workload associated with them can be avoided. While our results need to be supported by further clinical investigations, we believe that these two determinants can be used as adjunctive laboratory tests in clinically difficult cases.
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