Dense eosinophilic infiltration of the mucosa preceding ulcerative colitis and mimicking eosinophilic colitis: Report of two cases
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INTRODUCTION

Eosinophils are present in healthy gut mucosa and their presence is considered to be protective against bacteria and more so against helminthic parasites. Eosinophils can cause tissue damage by releasing cytotoxic granule proteins. Activated eosinophils also produce cytokines, chemokines and lipid mediators which modulate immune response and amplify the immune cascade (1). Increased knowledge about the diverse function of eosinophils has provoked curiosity about their potential role in the physiopathology of different diseases, represented by an increased tissue eosinophil count.

The role of eosinophilic granules in the pathogenesis of gut inflammation is well known in eosinophilic gastroenteritis in which tissue injury was found to be correlated with degranulated eosinophil count, eosinophil cationic protein (2) and major basic protein levels (3). Increased count and activation of eosinophils are also detected in celiac disease (3, 4) and collagenous colitis (5), as well as in the active phase of ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) (6-9). Different methods such as electron microscopy (7), determination of eosinophil granule protein levels (8) and immunohistological examination of tissue samples (9) were utilized to show that tissue eosinophils in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) are active rather than resting cells. Increased levels of eosinophil granule proteins were detected in perfusion fluids in UC (10) and in stool (11-13) and gut lavage fluids (14, 15) in both UC and CD. All these findings suggest that eosinophils contribute to tissue damage and

There is increasing evidence about the involvement of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. We report here two patients with ulcerative colitis who were initially diagnosed as eosinophilic colitis based on histopathological examination during their first attacks. They had symptomatic improvement with ketotifen and metronidazole during their first attacks. However, subsequent attacks which were histopathologically diagnosed as ulcerative colitis did not resolve with the above-mentioned treatment and necessitated a treatment with 5-ASA agents plus corticosteroids. Azathioprine also had to be added in the treatment of the second patient. Dense eosinophilic infiltration in these cases may suggest a role of eosinophils in the initiation of attacks in some ulcerative colitis patients.
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intestinal inflammation in IBD. Activated eosinophils play an important role in ongoing disease due to granule proteins, eosinophil derived cytokines and lipid mediators, but it is not known whether eosinophils initiate the attacks in these disorders.

We report here two cases presenting as eosinophilic colitis in their first attacks of colitis who were diagnosed as UC based on histological and clinical findings in later attacks.

CASE 1
An 18-year-old female patient was admitted with bloody diarrhea. Her medical history was unremarkable; her sister had UC. Stool culture was negative, no parasites or ova were detected by microscopic examination. Colonoscopy showed that rectum and sigmoid colon mucosa was hyperemic and edematous with increased exudation. In histopathological examination, dominant eosinophilic infiltration of lamina propria without crypt destruction suggested eosinophilic colitis. The mean eosinophil count was 34 per high-power field (Figure 1).

Her complaints regressed with 2 mg of ketotifen and 500 mg metronidazole, b.i.d, and elimination diet. Two years later she was hospitalized again because of bloody diarrhea, weight loss and abdominal pain. Her erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was 40 mm/hour, C-reactive protein (CRP) 7 mg/L (normal range 0-5), hemoglobin 9 g/dl, hematocrit 26%, and WBC count 7300/ml with no eosinophils on peripheral smear. Her IgE level was 355.7 IU/ml (normal range 0-100) and serum albumin level 3.65 mg/dl. Stool culture was negative, no parasites were found, and toxin A and B assays for Clostridium difficile were negative. Endoscopy showed left-sided colitis with diffuse edema, hyperemia, fine granular appearance, fragility and increased exudation. In histopathological examination, fibropurulent exudation on surface epithelium, distortion and inflammation of crypts, and dense infiltration of lymphoplasmocytes and neutrophils on lamina propria were noted. Histological diagnosis was active phase of UC (Figure 2).

Clinical activity was moderate according to the Mitsuru Seo index (16). Because of the past diagnosis of eosinophilic colitis, the same therapy was started but her symptoms did not improve. Methylprednisolone 32 mg/day, sulfasalazine 3 g/day and mesalamine enemas were started. Symptomatic, clinical, and histological remission was achieved. For maintenance therapy, sulfasalazine 2 gr/day was recommended.

CASE 2
A 52-year-old female patient was admitted with bloody diarrhea and abdominal pain. The patient had been in good health until one week previously. Her daughter was being treated for severe UC (past five years). Her stool culture was negative, no parasites or ova were detected, and Clostridium difficile toxins A and B assays were negative. Eosinophil count was 420/mm³ with 7% of total le-
ucocytes. IgE level was 28.3 IU/L. ESR was 18 mm/hour. Colonoscopy showed left-sided colitis with hyperemia, edema and increased exudation. Histopathological examination showed focal distortion of crypts and dense eosinophilic infiltration of lamina propria, and the diagnosis was eosinophilic colitis. The mean eosinophil count was 30 per high-power field (Figure 3). Ketotifen (2 mg) and 500 mg of metronidazole, b.i.d., and elimination diet were started. Symptomatic relief was obtained but she refused colonoscopic control.

Six months later she had another attack of bloody diarrhea. Her stool examination showed no parasites or ova, there were no Clostridium difficile toxins, and stool culture was negative. Her eosinophil count was 630 with WBC 10500/ml. Her ESR was 27 mm/hour, hemoglobin level 12.4 g/dl, CRP 10 U/L, and albumin 4.1 g/dl. Colonoscopy showed hyperemia, edema, petechial hemorrhage areas and increased exudation beginning from rectum and continuing as far as the mid transverse colon. Ulcerations with a diameter of 1-2 mm were also detected in this region. Clinical activity was mild according to the Mitsuuru Seo index. Histopathological diagnosis was active phase of UC. Ulceration on surface epithelium, decreased number of crypts and goblet cells, distortion of crypts, neutrophilic infiltration of lamina propria and crypts, and infiltration of lymphoplasmocytes on lamina propria were noted (Figure 4).

As symptomatic relief had been achieved with the first treatment when the diagnosis was eosinophilic colitis, the same regimen was started, but no symptomatic improvement could be obtained. The patient’s symptoms improved with methylprednisolone 36 mg/day, mesalamine tablets 3 g/day and mesalamine enemas, but the symptoms recurred after methylprednisolone was tapered 4 mg/week to a dose of 28 mg/day. The patient was considered to be steroid-dependent after a second high-dose therapeutic attempt, in regular descending dose manner, demonstrated no positive result; hence, azathioprine was necessary to obtain remission.

DISCUSSION

The findings of our patients suggest that in some UC patients eosinophilic infiltration may precede UC. Eosinophil infiltration can be expected in UC. The classical knowledge of the cytokine pattern of UC is that it is a Th-2 disease with increased IL-5 release (17). Matsuzaki et al. (18) have shown that Th-2 responses mainly occur in colonic mucosa with mild inflammation, while Th1 responses significantly occur with severe inflammation in UC patients. IL-5 and eotaxin, which is an eosinophil-specific chemoattractant, play an important role in eosinophil recruitment (19). High levels of serum eotaxin are found during active disease in UC and CD (20), but Mir et al. (21) reported that high levels may be detected in both active and inactive disease.

We may have detected the inflammatory cell infiltration, which was mainly eosinophilic, in the early lesions of UC. This finding could suggest that eosinophilic infiltration is the initiating event for UC in some patients. We could not detect the exact duration between the onset of symptoms and...
histopathological examination in our first patient, but it was eight days in the second patient. The histopathological findings of this patient may be an example of the very early stage of a first UC attack.

Generally, IBD is a disease of younger ages. The majority of patients with UC seek medical assistance from internists or local general practitioners for their first attacks with bloody diarrhea. Diagnostic tools such as stool examinations (e.g., parasites, culture) are requested and an empiric antibiotic treatment is usually prescribed even in case of negative results. In fact, endoscopic and histopathologic examinations in patients with bloody diarrhea are done afterwards. Thus, all initial steps have taken some time.

Seegert et al. (22) detected significantly increased IL-16 mRNA and IL-16 protein in the inflamed colonic mucosa of patients with IBD compared to controls. They also detected that most of IL-16 protein was predominantly expressed in eosinophils. IL-16 has strong chemoattractant activity on CD4+ T cells and stimulates the expression and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by human monocytes (23). The study of Seegert (22) led us to consider whether eosinophils not only modulate, but also initiate, the inflammation in IBD.

There is no data about the early lesion of UC yet, but in early recurrence of CD, a higher expression of IL-5 mRNA was found in involved areas compared to endoscopically normal ones (24). In another study by Desreumaux et al. (25), eosinophil count per area was found to be increased in both chronic and early lesions and was significantly higher than in controls. However, according to the inflammation score, increase in the number of eosinophils was statistically significant in the early lesions. Although cytokine profile tends to be predominantly Th-1 type with increased levels of IFN-α in CD (17, 26), Desreumaux et al. (25) showed that while chronic intestinal lesions of CD are compatible with a Th-1 type pattern, early lesions are associated with a Th-2 type pattern with significant increase of IL-4 mRNA and decrease of IFN-α mRNA. The authors suggested that there were divergent cytokine patterns during different clinical stages and noticed that the shift from type 2 to type 1 pattern was also detected in atopic dermatitis, as suggested by Thepen et al. (27). The relation of Th-2 cytokine pattern with colitis was also determined in animal models, such as the T cell receptor α chain-deficient mice model, in which the colitis develops spontaneously within three months of age (28), and the oxazolone colitis murine model, which is more characteristic of UC (29).

The Th-2 type cytokine pattern is seen in allergic disorders, and eosinophils are closely associated with Th-2 immune response (19, 30). Another finding of our patients was the positive family history of their first-degree relatives. Positive family history is an important finding not only in IBD but also in allergic disorders.

These two cases may be an example of a subgroup of UC patients with massive eosinophilic infiltration as Heatley et al. (31) has suggested. The authors have pointed out that the large numbers of eosinophils in rectal mucosa during active disease might predict a benign course in some patients who have responded to disodium chromoglycate. Recently, this theory was also supported by successful response to ketotifen therapy for UC in children who were observed to have high tissue eosinophilia (32).

These two cases may be an example of the event in which eosinophils can initiate the attacks of ulcerative colitis at least in a minority of patients.
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