Major predictors for difficult common bile duct stone
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Gereç ve Yöntem: Tüm 1850 hasta ile ilgili nöbet süresince endoskopik retrograd kolajonipancreatografi yapılan hastalar ve bu hastaların tüm Türkçe levhaları araştırıldı. Bağlanan ve kolajonipsancreatografi ile taş çıkartma başarısız olan hastaların 1850 hasta ile toplam 757 hasta ileeggiesi araştırıldı. 

Bulgular: 

- Taşın çevresinde darlık (OR: 8.248), küçük ortak safra kanalı/taş çap oranı (OR: 0.348), taş çapı (OR: 1.187), taşın impaktasyonu (OR: 1.117), ve yüksek bilirubin seviyesi (OR: 1.1) zor taşlar için analitik ve multivariat analizde bağımsız belirteçler olarak belirlendi. 

Sonuç: Zor taşlar, endoskopik retrograd kolajonipancreatografi, bilirubin seviyesi, taş çapı ve taşın çevresinde darlık önemli belirteçlerdir. 

Anahtar kelimeler: Zor taş, endoskopik retrograd kolajonipancreatografi, bilirubin seviyesi, taş çapı
INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) and/or balloon dilation and stone extraction is the most common therapeutic procedure used for the treatment of common bile duct (CBD) stones. However, in approximately 10% to 15% of patients, it may be difficult to remove bile duct stones at first attempt due to challenging reasons (1-5).

Stones requiring interventions other than standard ERCP, EST, balloon and/or basket for extraction or those which necessitate a second or third ERCP attempt were named as “difficult stones”. Larger stones, intrahepatic stones, impacted stones in the bile duct or cystic duct, stones in patients with periampullary diverticulum, Billroth II anatomy, and Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy were observationally defined as difficult stones (1-3, 6, 7). To our knowledge, there are only a few reports with limited number of patients investigating the factors that contribute to the technical difficulty of endoscopic clearance of CBD stones (7-9).

Herein, we conducted a prospective study to determine the factors that contribute to the technical difficulty of endoscopic clearance of CBD stones. To our knowledge, this is the largest study investigating the predictive factors for difficult stones.

MATERIALS and METHODS

We prospectively evaluated all patients with naive papilla (n=1850) who underwent ERCP during October 2005 and August 2007. Out of 1850 patients, 757 (40.9%) had bile duct stones and all patients with a diagnosis of stone with a naive papilla were included in the study. Patients with a prior endoscopic sphincterotomy, Mirizzi’s syndrome and intrahepatic bile duct stones were excluded. The diagnosis of bile duct stone was based on radiologic and endoscopic visualization. Patient management was as follows: EST was performed after cannulation. If EST was not sufficient for stone extraction, balloon dilation with a 12-mm, 15-mm or 18-mm balloon according to the size of the CBD was performed. If there was a stricture distal to the stone, balloon dilation according to the size of the adjacent dilated bile duct was performed. The stones were extracted either with extraction balloon or stone extraction basket. Mechanical lithotripsy was performed if necessary. If the stone was too large for basket grabbing, then either naso-biliary drain was inserted for extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) (Figure-1A,B,C) or a 10 Fr plastic stent was inserted and definite procedure was postponed for 3 months (Figure-2A,B,C) or the patient was referred to surgery. If a stent was inserted, the patient was treated with ursodeoxycholic acid. Patient had surgery if the stone was too huge or the patient had Billroth II operation or ectopic opening of the CBD in the duodenal bulb (EO-CBD-DB) and non extractable stone, or preferred surgery. Age, sex, laboratory parameters including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma-glutamyl transeptidase (GGT), bilirubin, international normalized ratio (INR), platelets, and white blood cell count, characteristics and location of papilla and cholangiographic findings such as CBD diameter, stone diameter, presence of

Figure 1 (A, B, C). Management of a huge stone with naso-biliary drain insertion and extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL).
stricture distal to the stone and impacted stone were recorded in all patients. The diameter of the CBD and stones were assessed by measurement by a ruler on radiologic imaging. A stone was defined as impacted in the bile duct if it was immobile and hampered the passage of the catheter. ERCP was performed by experienced endoscopists by using a side-viewing duodenoscope (Olympus TJF 240, Japan) under conscious sedation with midazolam and meperidine. Following successful cannulation, stones which required more than one session of ERCP, mechanical lithotripsy or extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) for extraction or which could not be extracted by ERCP and necessitated surgery were regarded as “difficult stones”. Demographic data, laboratory parameters, and endoscopic findings mentioned above were evaluated in order to determine the predictive factors for difficult stones. A written informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Statistical Analysis

The distribution of continuous variables was checked by applying Shapiro-Wilk test. Categorical variables (gender, characteristics of the papilla, cholangiographic findings) were compared using chi-square test, or Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables (age, laboratory results, stone and bile duct diameters) were analyzed using Mann–Whitney U test. Significant predictors for “difficult stone” (p<0.05) identified by univariate analysis were included in a multiple logistic regression analysis to determine the most significant risk factors for difficult stone. Odds ratio for every risk factor was calculated in a 95% confidence interval and p<0.05 was considered as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS package 11.5 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Out of 1850 patients with naive papilla, 757 (40.9%, 432 women, 325 men) had CBD stones. The mean age of the patients was 60±16 years (range:4-96). Stone extraction with standard ERCP, EST, balloon or basket extraction was successfully achieved in 654 (86.4%) patients at the first attempt, whereas additional attempts or procedures were necessary for the remaining 103 (13.6%) patients, and they were regarded as having “difficult stones”. Fifty-four patients needed more than one session of procedure. While 34 of them were stented 2.3 (2-4) times before bile duct clearance, 20 of them were treated during the same admission. 39 patients needed mechanical lithotripsy, 13 patients had ESWL, and 15 patients had surgery for stone clearance. Endoscopic stone extraction was successful in 98.1% of all patients. Endoscopic interventions failed in 15 (14.6% of difficult stones, 1.9% of all) patients with difficult stones, and they underwent surgery.

Results of univariate analysis of the possible predictive factors for difficult stones are presented in

Figure 2 (A, B, C). Management of a stone with a stricture distal to the stone with 10 f plastic stent insertion and definite procedure was postponed for 3 months.
Tables 1 and 2. There was no significant difference between the easy and difficult stone groups with regard to age, gender, AST, ALP, GGT, platelet, and white blood cell count. Although patients with a juxtapapillary diverticulum had a higher stone diameter (median: 11 mm vs. 8 mm), it was not a risk factor for difficult stones. Higher total and direct bilirubin, higher INR, location of the papilla in the duodenal bulb, the presence of fibrotic papilla, impacted stone, stricture distal to the stone, more dilated bile duct, and past history of Billroth II operation were found to be predictive for difficult stones. The mean diameter of stones was significantly bigger in the difficult stone group compared to the easy group (15.0±6.0 mm vs. 8.8±4.4 mm). Bile duct/stone ratio was significantly lower in the difficult stone group (1.2±0.5 vs. 1.8±0.9). In multivariate analysis, presence of stricture distal to the stone was the most important predictor for difficult stone with an Odds ratio of 8.248. Bile duct/stone ratio was the second most significant predictor with an Odds ratio of 0.348. The results of multivariate analysis are presented in Table 3.

DISCUSSION
Our study included 757 CBD stone patients, thus this is the largest study investigating the predictive factors for difficult stones in the literature. Suc-
cess rate of stone extraction with standard ERCP, EST, balloon or basket extraction was 86.4% at the first attempt and 98.1% for all. This rate was reported as 87% by Cotton and 87.3% by Vaira (1, 4). This high rate of success may be related to the good experience of our center with high volume of procedures. There is not a standard definition of difficult stone in the literature. Here, we suggested a new definition for cases of difficult stone as patients who needed more than one episode of ERCP with basket/balloon sweeping or mechanical lithotripsy or ESWL for stone extraction or in whom stone extraction could not be achieved endoscopically and underwent surgery. Stricture distal to the stone, smaller CBD/stone diameter ratio, stone diameter, stone impaction, and higher bilirubin levels were found to be independent predictors of difficult stone in multivariate analysis.

There are only a few reports investigating the factors that affect the success of endoscopic clearance of CBD stones. Some of them emphasized the importance of stone size. Lauri in 1993 studied 100 patients and found that there was a significant difference in the success rate of stone extraction with regard to size of stone (7). In this first study about difficult stones, stone extraction and clearance of the CBD was achieved in 59% of the 75 patients in whom endoscopic sphincterotomy was performed. Duct clearance was achieved in 30 patients at the first session, 13 at the second, and one at the third session. Although all stones <10 mm were successfully removed by endoscopic sphincterotomy, only 3 of 25 stones (12%) over 15 mm could be removed successfully by endoscopic sphincterotomy. This very low rate may be related to the time of the study since it may represent the learning era of safety margins of EST and stone extraction. Wan studied 216 patients with CBD stones (9). In patients with stones >2 cm, more ERCP procedures per patient (mean: 1.5/patient) were performed than in patients with stones <2 cm (mean: 1.1/patient). The overall success rate and success rate in the first ERCP session in the >2 cm group was significantly lower than that in the <2 cm group (77.8% vs. 91.7% and 58.3% vs. 83.3%, respectively). Our results concerning the size of the stones are consistent with the findings of Lauri and Wan. Stone size was an independent predictor for difficult stone.

A group from Korea investigated the effects of more factors on the success of CBD stone extraction in 102 patients (8). Patients with stenosis (benign or malignant) of the CBD were excluded from the study. In this study, the technical difficulty of CBD stone removal was graded as easy, moderately difficult, and very difficult according to the number of attempts of basket grasping or balloon sweeping for stone extraction. Procedure failure was defined as incomplete CBD clearance during that session of ERCP. Complete bile duct clearance was achieved after one session of ERCP in 96 (94.1%) patients. The remaining 6 patients underwent additional ERCP sessions or percutaneous approaches and all of them achieved complete bile duct clearance. Older age (≥65 years), previous gastrojejunostomy, larger CBD stone (≥15 mm), presence of impacted CBD stone, use of mechanical lithotripsy, shorter length of the distal CBD arm (≤36 mm), and more acute distal CBD angulation (≤135 degrees) were all found as significant contributing factors to the technical difficulty of endoscopic clearance of CBD stone(s) in exploratory univariate testing, but only more acute distal CBD angulations (≤135 degrees) and a shorter length of the distal CBD arm (≤36 mm) were found as independent contributors to technical difficulty according to multivariate analysis. Exclusion of patients with stricture distal to the stone may have caused a bias. In our study, we did not include distal angulations or length of distal arm of CBD as parameters and we have no comment on these factors. Features of previous 3 studies and the current study about difficult stones are presented in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Factors predicting difficult stone in multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictive factors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High direct bilirubin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone size/Bile duct size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacted stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricture distal to the stone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although Cotton underlined the importance of stricture distal to the stone and duct/stone ratio and concluded that stones are more difficult to extract when there is relative narrowing below the stone, this statement was based on only clinical observation (1). There is no study investigating the importance of stricture distal to the stone for stone clearance from the bile duct in the literature. To our knowledge, this is the first study which statistically proved that these two parameters are significant predictors of technical difficulty in removing CBD stones. Stricture distal to the stone was described for the first time in the literature in this study as the most important predictor for difficult stone (OR: 8.2). Bile duct/stone ratio was the second most significant predictor with an Odds ratio of 0.348 and also was described for the first time in the literature in this study.

A study from India including 401 patients reported impaction of the stone or stones in the bile duct as a significant predictor of failure of mechanical lithotripsy and consequently failure of bile duct clearance 10 End point of the study was total clearance of bile duct stone either in one or more sessions and mechanical lithotripsy was decided when the stone size exceeded 15 mm. Although the aim of this study was to evaluate the factors that interfere with mechanical lithotripsy, stone impaction was found to be significant also for bile duct clearance. This was consistent with our finding about stone impaction.

High INR is found to be a statistically significant predictor for difficult stone in univariate analysis but probably this was a result of a bias. Patients were usually managed with a small EST and stenting for large stones in case of a high INR. In conclusion, ERCP is a very effective procedure for the treatment of CBD stones. Besides strictures distal to the stone, smaller CBD/stone diameter ratio, larger stone size, impacted stone, and higher bilirubin levels are predictors for difficult stones. To know which stones are difficult may give us an idea about what to do next and not to lose time while insisting on doing same things during the procedure.

Author Disclosure: “Drs. Oğuz Üsküdar, Erkan Parlak, Selçuk Dıgıbeяз, Ayıdı Şerif Köksal, Bahattın Çıçek, Zeki Mesut Yalin Kılıç, Bülent Ödemiş, Nurgül Şasın and Burhan Şahin have no conflicts of interest or financial ties to disclose.”

Table 4. Features of previous 3 studies and the current study about difficult stones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Definition of difficulty</th>
<th>Significant factors of difficult stone</th>
<th>First/overall success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lauri A</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Failed complete CBD clearance</td>
<td>Stone size</td>
<td>73.3% / NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim HJ</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Number of attempts of basket grasping or balloon sweeping or failed</td>
<td>Distal CBD arm ≤36 mm, CBD angulation ≤135 degrees</td>
<td>94.1% / 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan XJ</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Failed complete CBD clearance</td>
<td>Stone size</td>
<td>75% / 87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td></td>
<td>757</td>
<td>Need of more than one ERCP session, mechanical lithotripsy, ESWL or failed complete CBD clearance</td>
<td>Stricture distal to the stone, CBD/stone ratio, stone size, stone impaction, higher bilirubin levels</td>
<td>86.4% / 98.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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